UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/762,831 | 01/21/2004 | Victor Guerrero | 034035-003 | 6121 |
| 27111 GORDON & R | 01/21/2004 Victor Guerrero 7590 07/26/2007 REES LLP BROADWAY | 7 | EXAMINER | |
| 101 WEST BR | OADWAY | ALEXANDER, REGINALD | | |
| SUITE 1600 SAN DIEGO, (| CA 92101 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1761 | |
| | | | | |
| | | • | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/26/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/762,831 | GUERRERO, VICTOR | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Reginald L. Alexander | 1761 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICA | ATION. Only be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed | s action is non-final. ance except for formal matte | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 5-8,32,36,38 and 41-47 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-8,32,36, 38 and 41-47 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | awn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ction is required if the drawing(s | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Its have been received in Apportity documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | plication No eceived in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | mmary (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | /Mail Date ormal Patent Application -· | |

Application/Control Number: 10/762,831

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5-8, 32, 36, 38 and 41-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 36, at line 12, it is recited that the frame "comprises a that supports a top", it is not clear what structure is supposed to be recited at this point. It appears that applicant has left out subject matter. The claim, as presented, is incomplete.

Due to the incomplete nature of claim 36, the claim and it's dependents have not been rejected under prior art.

In claim 43, there is recited a "drinking cup filter assembly" but there is no positive recitation of a drinking cup or filter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacDonald in view of McKnight.

Art Unit: 1761

There is disclosed in MacDonald a beverage brewing and drinking cup filter assembly, comprising: a drinking cup A, having an open top; a frame B dimensioned to be received into the open top of the drinking cup, the frame having a filter hole (opening) passing therethrough, and wherein the frame also comprises a vertically extending filter support D; and a filter C supported by the filter support and positioned within the drinking cup.

McKnight discloses that it is known in the art to position a hole 38 beside a filter hole of a filter support frame of a beverage brewing and drinking cup filter assembly.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the frame B of MacDonald with the frame hole taught in McKnight, for the purpose of allowing a user to view the liquid level within the drinking cup.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,831

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

rla

20 July 2007

Reginald L. Alexander

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761